

MEDICAL POLICY

Medical Policy Title	Endometrial Ablation
Policy Number	4.01.01
Current Effective Date	February 19, 2026
Next Review Date	February 2027

Our medical policies are guides to evaluate technologies or services for medical necessity. Criteria are established through the assessment of evidence based, peer-reviewed scientific literature, and national professional guidelines. Federal and state law(s), regulatory mandates and the member's subscriber contract language are considered first in the determination of a covered service.

(Link to [Product Disclaimer](#))

Please refer to Corporate Medical Policy #3.01.22 Gender Affirming Behavioral Health and Medical Services for those members with a diagnosis of gender dysphoria.

POLICY STATEMENT(S)

- I. Endometrial ablation is considered **medically appropriate** when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:
- A. The patient has experienced menorrhagia/menometrorrhagia ("abnormal uterine bleed") for greater than three (3) menstrual cycles;
 - B. The patient has been treated with and has failed to respond to hormone therapy (contraceptives, progestin) for three consecutive menstrual cycles, or there is a contraindication to hormone therapy;
 - C. The symptoms are severe enough to warrant surgical intervention (e.g., hysterectomy);
 - D. The symptoms interfere with activities of daily living (ADLs) or results in anemia that is unresponsive to treatment;
 - E. The results of a Pap smear within the past 12 months are within normal limits;
 - F. Endometrium is normal within the last six (6) to 12 months, as evidenced by **ONE** of the following:
 1. Hysteroscopy with dilation and curettage (D & C);
 2. Transvaginal ultrasound; **or**
 3. Sonohysterogram;
 - G. The patient is not pregnant, and there is no desire for future pregnancy; **and**
 - H. The device is approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA).

Contraindications:

- I. Contraindications for endometrial ablation include:
1. Known or suspected endometrial carcinoma or pre-malignant change of the endometrium (e.g., pre-cancerous endometrial abnormalities);

Medical Policy: Endometrial Ablation

Policy Number: 4.01.01

Page: 2 of 10

2. Enlarged uterus (e.g., greater than 10 cm in length or comparable to 12 or more weeks of gestation);
 3. Any anatomic or pathologic condition in which weakness of the myometrium could exist (e.g., history of previous classical cesarean section(s), transmural myomectomy, history of previous endometrial ablation procedure or endometrial resection);
 4. The patient is on medications that could thin the myometrial muscles, such as long-term steroid use (except for inhaler or nasal therapy for asthma);
 5. Uterine prolapse;
 6. Submucosal myomas;
 7. Active genital or urinary tract infection (e.g., cervicitis, vaginitis, endometritis, salpingitis, or cystitis);
 8. Pregnancy or desire to become pregnant in the future;
 9. Intrauterine device (IUD) in place; **or**
 10. Active pelvic inflammatory disease.
- J. Thermal balloon endometrial ablation is contraindicated in patients who have a history of latex allergy or who have demonstrated sensitivity to latex material.
- K. Microwave ablation is contraindicated in patients who have **ALL** of the following:
1. Essure contraceptive micro-inserts in place;
 2. Myometrial thickness less than 10 mm; **and**
 3. Uterine sounding length less than 6 cm.
- II. Other methods of endometrial ablation (e.g., chemoablation, photodynamic endometrial ablation) are considered **investigational**.

RELATED POLICIES

Corporate Medical Policy

7.01.84 Gender Affirming Surgery and Treatments for Commercial and Medicare Advantage Members

7.01.105 Gender Reassignment/Gender Affirming Surgery and Treatments for Medicaid Managed Care Plan (MMCP) and Health and Recovery Plan (HARP) Members

11.01.03 Experimental or Investigational Services

POLICY GUIDELINE(S)

- I. Individuals with abnormal uterine bleeding (menorrhagia) should be screened for possible reasons for the condition, and, if results appear positive, further hematologic work-up should be performed. Examples of "red flags" indicating that further work-up should be completed for a patient include:

Medical Policy: Endometrial Ablation

Policy Number: 4.01.01

Page: 3 of 10

- A. A relative who has an inherited bleeding disorder,
- B. Prolonged bleeding from small wounds or following dental procedures,
- C. Heavy and prolonged bleeding following surgical procedures,
- D. Easy bruising,
- E. Spontaneous nosebleeds,
- F. Blood in the stool or bleeding ulcer requiring urgent medical care,
- G. Anemia requiring transfusion,
- H. Heavy menses resulting in anemia,
- I. Passing of large clots with menses or soaking more than one pad hourly, or
- J. Heavy bleeding during or following childbirth.

DESCRIPTION

Endometrial ablation is a method of treating abnormal uterine bleed through destruction of the endometrial lining. Endometrial ablation is an alternative to hysterectomy for women with abnormal uterine bleed from benign causes, who have found medical therapy ineffective or contraindicated.

In addition, in order to exclude other conditions, thyroid stimulating hormone (TSH) and human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG) testing are often performed prior to endometrial ablation, to confirm that these are within normal limits.

Several devices have been developed that utilize various modalities to accomplish endometrial ablation, including, but not limited to laser therapy, resecting loop rollerball using electric current, thermal ablation using a liquid-filled balloon, microwave, electrode array or cryosurgical device.

Thermal fluid-filled balloon, cryosurgical endometrial ablation, instillation of heated saline, and radiofrequency ablation can be performed without general anesthesia in a physician's office and do not require hysteroscopic guidance. Microwave ablation with the Microwave Endometrial Ablation System (MEA) may also be performed in a physician's office but does require use of the hysteroscope.

Methods that utilize direct hysteroscopic visualization include, but are not limited to:

- I. Hydrothermal (e.g., Hydro ThermAblator, Genesys HTA System);
- II. Neodymium-yttrium aluminum garnet (Nd-YAG) laser;
- III. Resectoscope/resecting loop; and
- IV. Rollerball.

Methods that do not utilize direct hysteroscopic visualization include, but are not limited to:

- I. Cryoablation (e.g., Her Option);
- II. Laser interstitial hyperthermy;

Medical Policy: Endometrial Ablation

Policy Number: 4.01.01

Page: 4 of 10

III. Microwave (e.g., MEA System, Minitouch 3.8);

IV. Radiofrequency (e.g., NovaSure); and

V. Thermal balloon (e.g., ThermaChoice).

SUPPORTIVE LITERATURE

Several studies have been published that address the various techniques of endometrial ablation as an alternative to hysterectomy for the treatment of abnormal uterine bleed (menorrhagia). In summary, the studies show that endometrial ablation has become the surgical treatment of choice for dysfunctional uterine bleeding when hysterectomy is not desired. In the short-term, hysteroscopic and non-hysteroscopic endometrial ablation techniques have been proven to be safe and effective in reducing excessive menstrual bleeding, when specific criteria are met.

In a 2017 article by Klebanoff et al, the authors sought to determine the incidence and predictors of failed standard of care associated with second-generation endometrial ablation. "Failed" is defined as need for surgical re-intervention. The retrospective cohort study was conducted on subjects undergoing second-generation endometrial ablation between October 2003 and March 2016. Second-generation devices utilized during the study period included the radiofrequency ablation device (RFA), hydrothermal ablation device (HTA), and uterine balloon ablation system (UBA). Of the 5,936 women participating in the study, the surgical re-intervention rate was found to be 15.6%. Age, ethnicity, and radiofrequency ablation were significant risk factors for failed endometrial ablation, and menorrhagia was the leading indication for re-intervention.

Deehan et al (2024) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis to evaluate the clinical efficacy, safety, and cost-effectiveness of endometrial ablation or resection (E:A/R) compared to hysterectomy for the treatment of heavy menstrual bleeding. Twelve randomized controlled trials (RCTs) with 2,028 women (hysterectomy: n=977 vs. n=1,051) were included in the analysis. Authors concluded that (E:A/R) offers alternatives to hysterectomy. Both procedures have high effectiveness rates, impact on QoL, and safety. Hysterectomy is associated with greater improvement in the bleeding symptoms, both subjectively and objectively, and higher patient-satisfaction up-to two years. However, it has longer operating times, longer recovery periods, and higher rates of postoperative complications. On the other hand, (E:A/R) has lower initial cost than hysterectomy but the need for further surgery is common; therefore, there is no difference in the costs in the long-follow-up. These results should be interpreted with caution due to the heterogeneity of the trials included. An adequately powered and carefully-planned non-inferiority RCT comparing between the different methods of (E:A/R) to those of hysterectomy with long term follow-up periods is required to inform the surgeons, patients, and decision makers with the most clinically-effective and cost-effective surgical treatment for heavy menstrual bleeding.

Marchand et al (2024) conducted a network meta-analysis to determine the efficacy of first- and second-generation ablation techniques compared with medical treatment, invasive surgery and different modalities of the endometrial ablation (EA) techniques themselves. 49 RCTs that compared 8,038 premenopausal women with abnormal uterine bleeding (AUB) receiving the intervention of second-generation EA techniques were included in the study. The uterine balloon ablation had

Medical Policy: Endometrial Ablation

Policy Number: 4.01.01

Page: 5 of 10

significantly higher amenorrhoea rates than other techniques in both short (hydrothermal ablation (risk ratio (RR)=0.51, 95%CI 0.37; 0.72), microwave ablation (RR=0.43, 95%CI 0.31; 0.59), first-generation techniques (RR=0.44, 95%CI 0.33; 0.59), endometrial laser intrauterine therapy (RR=0.18, 95%CI 0.10; 0.32) and bipolar radio frequency treatments (RR=0.22, 95%CI 0.15; 0.31)) and long-term follow-up (microwave ablation (RR=0.11, 95%CI 0.01; 0.86), bipolar radio frequency ablation (RR=0.12, 95%CI 0.02; 0.90), first generation (RR=0.12, 95%CI 0.02; 0.90) and endometrial laser intrauterine thermal therapy (RR=0.04, 95%CI 0.01; 0.36)). When calculating efficacy based only on calculated bleeding scores, the highest scores were achieved by cryoablation systems (p-score=0.98). Authors concluded that most second-generation EA systems were superior to first-generation systems but that there is no evidence at this time that any one of the examined second-generation systems is clearly superior to the others.

PROFESSIONAL GUIDELINE(S)

The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists (ACOG) issued a committee opinion (number 557) in 2013 on the management of acute abnormal bleeding in nonpregnant women of reproductive age. ACOG recommended medical management as first-line treatment and stated that surgical management be considered for patients who failed or are not suitable for medical management, or who are not clinically stable. Endometrial ablation was listed as a surgical option, along with dilation and curettage, uterine artery embolization, and hysterectomy. ACOG also stated that endometrial ablation should only be considered for patients who have failed other treatments or have a contraindication when women have no plans for future childbearing, and when endometrial and uterine cancer have been ruled out as the cause of acute uterine bleeding.

The World Professional Association for Transgender Health (WPATH) is a 501(c)(3) non-profit, interdisciplinary professional and educational organization that promotes evidence-based care, education, research, advocacy, public policy and respect in transgender health. WPATH publishes documents supporting medical necessary and appropriate care for transgender or transition-related treatments. These are known as the Standards of Care (SOC). WPATHs SOC states that menstrual suppression may impact gender dysphoria and can function as an initial step allowing for "...further exploration of gender-related goals of care, prioritization of other mental health care, or both, especially for those who experience worsening gender dysphoria from unwanted uterine bleeding." Testosterone therapy typically causes cessation of menstruation within six months, but is impacted by the dose, route, frequency, body habitus, and other co-occurring medical conditions that may exist. Residual bleeding can occur after hormone therapy. Endometrial ablation can be offered for those that decline hysterectomy and/or hormone therapy (e.g., nonbinary) or in situations where those considerations may be contraindicated. The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists agrees with WPATHs statement regarding the impact of menstrual suppression to decrease gender dysphoria associated with menses, public restroom use for menstrual hygiene and its impact on individuals' attitudes and safety.

REGULATORY STATUS

Several first-generation hysteroscopically aided and second-generation non-hysteroscopically aided devices have been approved by the FDA as a safe and effective alternative to hysterectomy in

Medical Policy: Endometrial Ablation

Policy Number: 4.01.01

Page: 6 of 10

premenopausal patients with heavy menstrual bleeding due to benign causes for whom childbearing is complete. In 2021, the FDA published guidelines listing contraindications for endometrial ablation which include, but are not limited to, pregnancy, previous endometrial ablation or endometrial resection, previous cesarean section, or infection at the time of treatment.

In 1997, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) approved ThermaChoice, the first non-hysteroscopic ablation device to treat excessive uterine bleeding (menorrhagia) due to benign (non-cancerous) causes. The ThermaChoice Uterine Balloon Therapy System (Gynecare) consists of a balloon that is inserted through the neck of the cervix and into the uterus.

In 2001, the FDA approved the Her Option Uterine Cryoblation Therapy System (CryoGen Inc.) uses a cryoprobe capable of producing temperatures down to minus 148°F (minus 100°C) at the tip. This extreme cold is applied to the tissue for 10 mins to freeze and destroy the uterine lining. Ultrasound is used to guide and monitor the procedure.

Also, in 2001, the FDA approved the NovaSure-Endometrial Ablation System, which utilizes a metallized mesh electrode array that is introduced into the uterine cavity, applying bipolar electrical energy that creates heat to ablate the endometrium.

In 2003, the FDA approved the Microsulis Microwave Endometrial Ablation (MEA) System. The MEA system is a surgical device that uses microwave energy to treat excessive menstrual bleeding by destroying tissue lining the uterus (womb). Endometrial ablation is a minimally invasive surgery that can be a viable alternative to hysterectomy.

The Genesys HTA – Hydro-Thermal Ablation System, FDA approved in 2010, is a hysteroscopic thermal ablation device intended to ablate the endometrial lining of the uterus in premenopausal women with menorrhagia due to benign causes for whom childbearing is complete.

In 2023, the Minitouch 3.8 Era System (Minitouch System) received the Premarket approval (PMA) from the FDA. This device is intended for ablation of the endometrial lining of the uterus for the treatment of menorrhagia caused by non-malignant conditions in pre-menopausal women who do not wish to become pregnant in the future.

The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) regulates ablation devices as medical devices. All ablation devices including related components require FDA approval before marketing and use in the United States to ensure they are safe and effective for human use. Refer to the FDA Medical Device website. Available from: <https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices> [accessed 2026 Jan 7]

The FDA lists the most serious type of medical device recalls as well as early alert communications about corrective actions being taken by companies that the FDA believes are likely to be the most serious type of recalls. Available from: [Medical Device Recalls | FDA](#) [accessed 2026 Jan 7]

CODE(S)

- Codes may not be covered under all circumstances.
- Code list may not be all inclusive (AMA and CMS code updates may occur more frequently than policy updates).
- (E/I)=Experimental/Investigational

Medical Policy: Endometrial Ablation

Policy Number: 4.01.01

Page: 7 of 10

- (NMN)=Not medically necessary/appropriate

CPT Codes

Code	Description
58353	Endometrial ablation, thermal, without hysteroscopic guidance
58356	Endometrial cryoablation with ultrasonic guidance, including endometrial curettage, when performed
58563	Hysteroscopy, surgical; with endometrial ablation (e.g., endometrial resection, electrosurgical ablation, thermoablation)

Copyright © 2026 American Medical Association, Chicago, IL

HCPCS Codes

Code	Description
Not Applicable	

ICD10 Codes

Medically Appropriate codes for when criteria are met under Policy Statement I:

Code	Description
F64.0-F64.9	Gender identity disorders (code range)
N92.0	Excessive and frequent menstruation with regular cycle
N92.1	Excessive and frequent menstruation with irregular cycle
N92.4	Excessive bleeding in the premenopausal period
N93.8	Other specified abnormal uterine and vaginal bleeding
N93.9	Abnormal uterine and vaginal bleeding, unspecified
Z87.890	Personal history of sex reassignment

Contraindicated conditions under Policy Statement III (not an all-inclusive list of codes):

Code	Description
D07.0	Carcinoma in situ of endometrium
D25.0	Submucous leiomyoma of uterus
N81.2	Incomplete uterovaginal prolapse

Medical Policy: Endometrial Ablation

Policy Number: 4.01.01

Page: 8 of 10

Code	Description
N81.3	Complete uterovaginal prolapse
N81.4	Uterovaginal prolapse, unspecified
N85.00	Endometrial hyperplasia, unspecified
N85.02	Endometrial intraepithelial neoplasia [EIN]
N85.2	Hypertrophy of uterus

REFERENCES

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists [Internet]. Committee Opinion Number 557: Management of acute abnormal uterine bleeding in nonpregnant reproductive-aged women. 2013 Apr; reaffirmed 2019 [accessed 2025 Jan 12]. Available from: <https://www.acog.org/clinical/clinical-guidance/committee-opinion/articles/2013/04/management-of-acute-abnormal-uterine-bleeding-in-nonpregnant-reproductive-aged-women>

American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists [Internet]. Practice Bulletin #823: Health care for transgender and gender diverse individuals. 2021 Mar [accessed 2025 Jan 7]. Available from: <https://www.acog.org/clinical/clinical-guidance/committee-opinion/articles/2021/03/health-care-for-transgender-and-gender-diverse-individuals>.

American Society for Reproductive Medicine. Indications and options for endometrial ablation. Fertil Steril. 2008 Nov;90(5 Suppl):S236-40.

Deehan C, et al. Endometrial ablation and resection versus hysterectomy for heavy menstrual bleeding: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis of effectiveness and complications. Obstet Gynecol Sci. 2023 Sep;66(5):364-384.

Klebanoff J, et al. Incidence and predictors of failed second-generation endometrial ablation. Gynecol Surg. 2017;14(1):26.

Marchand GJ, et al. First and second-generation endometrial ablation devices: a network meta-analysis. BMJ Open. 2024 May 28;14(5).

National Institute for Clinical Excellence [Internet]. Photodynamic endometrial ablation. 2004 Mar 24 [accessed 2025 Jan 07]. Available from: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg47>

National Institute for Health and Care Excellence [Internet]. Photodynamic endometrial ablation. 2024 March 2004 [accessed 2025 Jan 07]. Available from: <https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ipg47>

Oderkerk TJ, et al. Risk of hysterectomy after endometrial ablation: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Obstet Gynecol. 2023 Jul 1;142(1):51-60.

United States Food & Drug Administration [Internet]. Endometrial ablation for heavy menstrual bleeding. 2021 Oct. [updated 2021 Oct 25; accessed 2025 Jan 07]. Available from:

Medical Policy: Endometrial Ablation

Policy Number: 4.01.01

Page: 9 of 10

<https://www.fda.gov/medical-devices/surgery-devices/endometrial-ablation-heavy-menstrual-bleeding>

World Professional Association for Transgender Health (WPATH) [Internet]. Standards of care for the health of transsexual, transgender, and gender nonconforming people. 2022 Sept 15. [accessed 2025 Jan 07]. Available from: <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/26895269.2022.2100644>

SEARCH TERMS

Not Applicable

CENTERS FOR MEDICARE AND MEDICAID SERVICES (CMS)

Endometrial ablation is not addressed in National or Regional Medicare coverage determinations or policies.

PRODUCT DISCLAIMER

- Services are contract dependent; if a product does not cover a service, medical policy criteria do not apply.
- If a commercial product (including an Essential Plan or Child Health Plus product) covers a specific service, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.
- If a Medicaid product covers a specific service, and there are no New York State Medicaid guidelines (eMedNY) criteria, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.
- If a Medicare product (including Medicare HMO-Dual Special Needs Program (DSNP) product) covers a specific service, and there is no national or local Medicare coverage decision for the service, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.
- If a Medicare HMO-Dual Special Needs Program (DSNP) product DOES NOT cover a specific service, please refer to the Medicaid Product coverage line.

POLICY HISTORY/REVISION

Committee Approval Dates

10/18/01, 05/18/05, 03/16/06, 03/15/07, 03/20/08, 03/19/09, 03/18/10, 04/21/11, 04/19/12, 03/21/13, 03/20/14, 03/19/15, 03/17/16, 03/16/17, 02/15/18, 02/21/19, 02/20/20, 02/18/21, 02/17/22, 02/16/23, 02/22/24, 02/20/25, 02/19/26

Date

Summary of Changes

02/19/26

- Annual review; Policy statement on the use of endometrial ablation for gender dysphoria was replaced with a reference to CMP#3.01.22 Gender Affirming Behavioral Health and Medical Services.

02/20/25

- Annual review, policy intent unchanged.

Medical Policy: Endometrial Ablation

Policy Number: 4.01.01

Page: 10 of 10

01/01/25	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Summary of changes tracking implemented.
10/18/01	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Original effective date