Page: 1 of 7

# MEDICAL POLICY



MEDICAL POLICY DETAILS		
Medical Policy Title	Allergen Immunotherapy	
Policy Number	2.01.11	
Category	Technology Assessment	
<b>Original Effective Date</b>	10/18/01	
<b>Committee Approval</b>	12/20/01, 10/16/02, 10/15/03, 02/19/04, 12/16/04, 11/17/05, 09/21/06, 09/20/07, 09/18/08,	
Date	09/17/09, 09/16/10, 09/15/11, 09/20/12, 09/19/13, 08/21/14, 09/17/15, 09/15/16, 09/21/17,	
	09/20/18, 09/19/19, 09/17/20, 09/16/21, 09/15/22	
<b>Current Effective Date</b>	09/15/22	
Archived Date	N/A	
Archive Review Date	N/A	
Product Disclaimer	• If a product excludes coverage for a service, it is not covered, and medical policy criteria do not apply.	
	• If a commercial product (including an Essential Plan or Child Health Plus product), medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.	
	• If a Medicaid product covers a specific service, and there are no New York State Medicaid guidelines (eMedNY) criteria, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.	
	• If a Medicare product (including Medicare HMO-Dual Special Needs Program (DSNP) product) covers a specific service, and there is no national or local Medicare coverage designs for the service, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.	
	<ul> <li>decision for the service, medical policy criteria apply to the benefit.</li> <li>If a Medicare HMO-Dual Special Needs Program (DSNP) product DOES NOT cover a specific service please refer to the Medicaid Product coverage line.</li> </ul>	

# **POLICY STATEMENT**

- I. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer reviewed literature, allergen immunotherapy has been medically proven to be effective and, therefore, is considered **medically appropriate** in patients:
  - A. with demonstrated hypersensitivity that cannot be adequately managed by medications or avoidance, and there is a desire to avoid long-term pharmacotherapy; or
  - B. with coexisting allergic rhinitis and asthma, where symptoms of asthma occur after natural exposure to aeroallergens, and there is demonstrable evidence of clinically relevant, specific immunogloblulin E (IgE).
- II. Based upon our criteria and assessment of the peer-reviewed literature, the following methods of immunotherapy have not been medically proven to be effective and, therefore, are considered **investigational**:
  - A. Acupuncture;
  - B. DNA immunization/vaccination;
  - C. Immunization with immunostimulatory sequences;
  - D. Intranasal therapy;
  - E. Mutated protein therapy;
  - F. Peptide therapy;
  - G. Provocative-neutralization therapy for food allergies;
  - H. Repository emulsion therapy;
  - I. Serial dilution endpoint titration therapy (Rinkel therapy);
  - J. Sublingual-swallow, sublingual-spit, oral therapy (administration of antigen drops/tablets under the tongue) that have <u>not</u> been approved for marketing via these routes of administration by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA); and
  - K. Urine autoinjections, autogenous urine immunization (intramuscular injections of sterilized urine).

### Medical Policy: ALLERGEN IMMUNOTHERAPY Policy Number: 2.01.11 Page: 2 of 7

III. Contraindications to sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT) with FDA-approved formulations include:

- A. severe, unstable or uncontrolled asthma;
- B. history of any severe local reaction or any severe systemic allergic reaction to SLIT; and
- C. history of eosinophilic esophagitis for Grastek and Ragwitek.

This policy does not address Xolair (omalizumab). Refer to the Pharmacy Managament Drug Policy Pharmacy-57.

This policy does not address Palforzia (peanut [Arachis hypogaea] allergen powder-dnfp). Refer to the Pharmacy Managament Drug Policy Pharmacy-88.

Refer to Corporate Medical Policy # 2.01.10 Allergy Testing.

Refer to Corporate Medical Policy # 8.01.20 Acupuncture and Auricular Electrostimulation.

Refer to Corporate Medical Policy # 11.01.03 Experimental or Investigational Services.

# **POLICY GUIDELINES**

The Center for Biologics Evaluation and Research (CBER) regulates allergenic products. Currently, there are two types of licensed allergen extracts administered for allergen immunotherapy:

- I. **Injectable allergen extracts:** Benefits for injections of allergens should be individualized for each patient and are considered under the medical portion of the member's subscriber contract, when medically appropriate.
- II. **Sublingual allergen extract tablets:** Benefits for sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT) formulations that have been approved for marketing by the FDA and dispensed by a pharmacist are considered under the pharmacy portion of the member's subscriber contract, when medically appropriate. The first dose of sublingual immunotherapy is administered in a healthcare setting under the supervision of a physician, for monitoring of adverse reactions.

## **DESCRIPTION**

Allergen immunotherapy, desensitization, or hypersensitization may be appropriate in patients not adequately managed with medications or avoidance of the allergen(s), when there is a desire to avoid long-term pharmacotherapy; or in patients with coexisting allergic rhinitis and asthma, where symptoms of asthma occur after natural exposure to aeroallergens, and there is demonstrable evidence of clinically relevant, specific IgE.

Allergen injection immunotherapy involves regular injection(s) of offending allergen(s), in the form of antigen extract(s), over a period of time, with the goal of reducing symptoms. Immunotherapy begins with injection of low doses of extract(s) on a weekly or biweekly basis, to prevent untoward reactions. The doses injected are gradually increased as immunity to the antigen(s) develop. After a maintenance antigen dose is achieved, the interval between injections may range from two to six weeks. Immunotherapy may be administered continuously for several years.

Rush, or rapid, immunotherapy involves an accelerated immunotherapy build-up schedule. Incremental doses of allergen(s) are injected at intervals varying between 15 and 60 minutes over one to three days, until the target therapeutic dose is achieved. Rush immunotherapy schedules for inhalant allergens can be associated with a greater risk of systemic reactions, particularly in high-risk patients (e.g., those with markedly positive prick/puncture test responses), and premedication with antihistamines and corticosteroids appears to reduce the risk associated with rush immunotherapy. However, rush protocols for administration of Hymenoptera (stinging insect) venom immunotherapy have not been associated with a similar high incidence of systemic reactions.

Cluster immunotherapy involves an accelerated build-up schedule in which several injections are administered at increasing doses (generally two to three per visit) sequentially over the course of a single day, on nonconsecutive days. The maintenance dose is generally achieved within four to eight weeks--more rapidly than with a conventional (single injection per visit) build-up schedule.

Oral immunotherapy is a medical treatment in which an individual who is allergic to a specific food consumes an increasing amount of the allergen with the goal of reducing the risk of allergic reactions to the food.

### Medical Policy: ALLERGEN IMMUNOTHERAPY Policy Number: 2.01.11 Page: 3 of 7

Sublingual allergen immunotherapy involves the administration of an allergenic extract tablet, placed under the tongue, which rapidly dissolves. To date, four formulations of SLIT have been approved for marketing in the U.S. by the FDA:

- I. On April 1, 2014, the FDA approved **Oralair** for treatment of certain grass pollen-induced allergic rhinitis, with or without conjunctivitis, in patients aged 10 to 65 years, who have grass pollen allergy to Kentucky Blue grass, Orchard grass, Perennial Rye grass, Sweet Vernal grass, and/or Timothy grass. Treatment with Oralair is commenced four months before the start of the grass pollen season and continues throughout the season.
- II. On April 14, 2014, the FDA approved **Grastek** for the treatment of Timothy grass pollen-induced allergic rhinitis, with or without conjunctivitis, in patients aged five to 65 years. Treatment with Grastek is commenced 12 weeks before the start of the grass pollen season and continued throughout the season.
- III. On April 17, 2014, the FDA approved **Ragwitek** for the treatment of short ragweed pollen-induced allergic rhinitis, with or without conjunctivitis, in patients aged 18 to 65 years. Treatment with Ragwitek is commenced 12 weeks before the start of the ragweed pollen season and continues throughout the season.
- IV. On March 1, 2017 the FDA approved **Odactra**, the first allergen extract to be administered under the tongue (sublingually) to treat house dust mite (HDM)-induced nasal inflammation (allergic rhinitis), with or without eye inflammation (conjunctivitis), in patients aged 18 to 65 years of age.

# RATIONALE

Allergen immunotherapy is a widely accepted medical practice to treat IgE-mediated disease by injection with specific allergenic extracts. The efficacy of immunotherapy has been demonstrated in multiple double-blind, placebo-controlled studies. Continuing efforts have been made to improve the efficacy of immunotherapy, and to reduce both the risk of reactions and the number of injections necessary through the use of adjuvants, various administration routes, by chemical alteration and modification and polymerization of allergens. However, the results of clinical trials have not proven the safety and efficacy of these methods and remain investigational.

SLIT is a potential alternative to subcutaneous immunotherapy (SCIT) for providing allergen-specific therapy. Despite multiple placebo-controlled studies evaluating SLIT, questions remain about the optimal dosing, duration of treatment, and use of multiple allergens. Four sublingual pollen extracts - Oralair, Grastek, Ragwitek and Odactra - have been approved by the FDA. Large, well-designed, randomized, controlled trials supporting the marketing applications for these products provide consistent evidence of efficacy and safety. Although trials were placebo-controlled, rather than SCIT-controlled, minimum clinically important criteria for demonstrating efficacy were pre-specified and were met in most studies. Patients in these trials had received previous treatment for their pollen-induced rhinitis or rhinoconjunctivitis symptoms.

SLIT is being investigated for other allergies (e.g., other seasonal and food allergies); however, current evidence is insufficient to form conclusions about the use of SLIT for these indications, and no allergy extracts for these uses have been FDA-approved.

In a 2017 practice parameter update regarding the use of liquid extract drops, the American Academy of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology (AAAAI) and the American College of Allergy, Asthma, and Immunology (ACAAI) noted that, although alternative regimens and preparations for liquid sublingual immunotherapy or use of specific sublingual drops have been proposed, these products and formulations have not been systematically studied in a rigorous manner in U.S. populations. Use of such products or formulations, currently off-label, is at a practitioner's discretion and liability, and is not endorsed. (Strength of Recommendation: Strong; Evidence: D: Directly based on category IV evidence or extrapolated recommendation from category I, II, or III evidence.)

Several studies, including well-powered, double-blind, randomized, controlled trials versus placebo, have shown that, based on overall efficacy and side effects, the evidence for SCIT versus SLIT is equipoised (Durham and Penagos, 2016). There were no significant differences in any outcome measures between the two groups (for TNSS: P>0.05; for TMS: P>0.05; for IL-4 levels: P>0.05). The authors concluded that the clinical efficacy of single-allergen SLIT is comparable with that of multi-allergen SCIT in children aged six to 13 years with HDM-induced allergic rhinitis (Wang et al., 2017). In a cost-minimization analysis comparing patients with persistent moderate-to-severe HDM allergic rhinitis, using SCIT

## Medical Policy: ALLERGEN IMMUNOTHERAPY Policy Number: 2.01.11 Page: 4 of 7

as the standard care versus SLIT, the authors concluded that it is clearly cost-saving to treat patients with SLIT compared to SCIT (Ronborg et al., 2016).

# **CODES**

- Eligibility for reimbursement is based upon the benefits set forth in the member's subscriber contract.
- CODES MAY NOT BE COVERED UNDER ALL CIRCUMSTANCES. PLEASE READ THE POLICY AND GUIDELINES STATEMENTS CAREFULLY.
- Codes may not be all inclusive as the AMA and CMS code updates may occur more frequently than policy updates.

Code	Description
95115	Professional services for allergen immunotherapy, not including provision of
	allergenic extracts; single injection
95117	two or more injections
95120	Professional services for allergen immunotherapy in the office or institution of the prescribing physician or other qualified health care professional, including provision of allergenic extract; single injection
95125	two or more injections
95130	single stinging insect venom
95131	two stinging insect venoms
95132	three stinging insect venoms
95133	four stinging insect venoms
95134	five stinging insect venoms
95144	Professional services for the supervision and provision of antigens for allergen immunotherapy, single dose vials (specify number of vials)
95145	Professional services for the supervision and provision of antigens for allergen immunotherapy (specify the number of doses); single stinging insect venom
95146	two single stinging insect venoms
95147	three single stinging insect venoms
95148	four single stinging insect venoms
95149	five single stinging insect venoms
95165	Professional services for the supervision and provision of antigens for allergen immunotherapy; single or multiple antigens (specify number of doses), <b>Note: Not</b> <b>appropriate for sublingual immunotherapy.</b>
95170	whole body extract of biting insect or other arthropod (specify number of doses)
95180	Rapid desensitization procedure, each hour (eg, insulin, penicillin, horse serum)
95199	Unlisted allergy/clinical immunologic service or procedure
	Note: Used for FDA approved formulations of sublingual immunotherapy.

**CPT Codes** 

Copyright © 2022 American Medical Association, Chicago, IL

## **HCPCS Codes**

Code	Description
No code(s)	

#### ICD10 Codes

Code	Description
H10.411-H10.419	Chronic giant papillary conjunctivitis (code range)

### Medical Policy: ALLERGEN IMMUNOTHERAPY Policy Number: 2.01.11 Page: 5 of 7

Code	Description
H10.45	Other chronic allergic conjunctivitis
J30.0-J30.9	Vasomotor and allergic rhinitis (code range)
J45.20-J45.998	Asthma (code range)
L50.0	Allergic urticaria
L50.3	Dermatographic urticaria
Z51.6	Encounter for desensitization to allergens
Z91.010-Z91.09	Allergy status, other than to drugs and biological substances (code range)

# **REFERENCES**

\*Abramson MJ, et al. Injection allergen immunotherapy for asthma. <u>Cochrane Database Syst Rev</u> 2010 Aug 4;(8): CD001186.

American Academy of Allergy Asthma & Immunology AAAI. Allergy Shots (Immunotherapy) [https://www.aaaai.org/conditions-and-treatments/library/allergy-library/allergy-shots-(immunotherapy)] accessed 7/27/22.

American Academy of Allergy Asthma Immunology, American College of Allergy Asthma Immunology, Joint Council of Allergy Asthma Immunology. Sublingual immunotherapy: A focused allergen immunotherapy practice parameter update. 2017

[http://www.aaaai.org/Aaaai/media/MediaLibrary/PDF%20Documents/Practice%20and%20Parameters/Sublingual-Immunotherapy-2017.pdf] accessed 7/27/22.

Bird JA, et al. Efficacy and safety of AR101 in oral immunotherapy for peanut allergy: results of ARC001, a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 2 clinical trial. <u>J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract</u> 2018 Mar - Apr;6(2):476-485.

\*Calderon MA, et al. Allergen injection immunotherapy for seasonal allergic rhinitis. <u>Cochrane Database Syst Rev</u> 2007 Jan 24;(1):CD001936.

\*Chapman JA, et al. Food allergy: a practice parameter. <u>Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol</u> 2006 Mar;96(3, suppl 2):S1-68.

Chinthrajah RS, et al. Sustained outcomes in oral immunotherapy for peanut allergy (POISED study): a large, randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2 study. <u>Lancet</u> 2019 Oct 19;394(10207):1437-1449.

Chu DK, et al. Oral immunotherapy for peanut allergy (PACE): a systematic review and meta-analysis of efficacy and safety. Lancet 2019 Jun; 393: 2222–32.

\*Cox LS, et al. Sublingual immunotherapy: a comprehensive review. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2006 May;117(5):1021-35.

\*Dahl R, et al. Sublingual grass allergen tablet immunotherapy provides sustained clinical benefit with progressive immunologic changes over 2 years. <u>J Allergy Clin Immunol</u> 2008 Feb;121(2):512-518.e2.

Dantzer, Jennifer, et al. Efficacy and safety of baked milk oral immunotherapy in children with severe milk allergy: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase 2 trial. <u>J Allergy Clin Immunol</u>. 2022 Apr;149(4):1383-1391.

\*Durham SR and Penagos M. Sublingual or subcutaneous immunotherapy for allergic rhinitis? <u>J Allergy Clin Immunol</u> 2016 Feb;137(2):339-349.e10.

Edwards TS and Wise, SK. Clinical applications of sublingual immunotherapy. <u>Otolaryngol Clin North Am</u> 2017 Dec;50(6):1121-1134.

Fleischer DM, et al. Effect of epicutaneous immunotherapy vs placebo on reaction to peanut protein ingestion among children with peanut allergy: The PEPITES randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2019 Mar 12;321(10):946-955.

Gotoh M, et al. Safety profile and immunological response of dual sublingual immunotherapy with house dust mite tablet and Japanese cedar pollen tablet. <u>Allergol Int</u> 2020 Jan;69(1):104-110.

### Medical Policy: ALLERGEN IMMUNOTHERAPY Policy Number: 2.01.11 Page: 6 of 7

\*Joint Task Force on Practice Parameters; American Academy of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology; American College of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology; Joint Council of Allergy, Asthma and Immunology. Drug allergy: an updated practice parameter. <u>Ann Allergy Asthma Immunol</u> 2010 Oct;105(4):259-273.

Jones, Stacie M, et al. Efficacy and safety of oral immunotherapy in children aged 1-3 years with peanut allergy (the immune intolerance network IMPACT trial): a randomized placebo-controlled study. <u>Lancet</u>. 2022 Jan 22; 399(10322):359-371.

Kim EH, et al. Long-term sublingual immunotherapy for peanut allergy in children: Clinical and immunologic evidence of desensitization. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2019 Nov;144(5):1320-1326.e1.

Kilmek L, et al. Handling of allergen immunotherapy in the COVID-19 pandemic: An ARIA-EAACI statement. <u>Allergy</u> 2020 Jul;75(7):1546-1554.

Liu L, et al. Sublingual immunotherapy of atopic dermatitis in mite-sensitized patients: a multi-centre, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. <u>Artif Cells Nanomed Biotechnol</u> 2019 Dec;47(1):3540-3547.

Nagakura KI, et al. Novel immunotherapy and treatment modality for severe food allergies. <u>Curr Opin Allergy Clin</u> <u>Immunol</u> 2017 Jun;17(3):212-219.

Nowak-Wegrzyn A, et al. Multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled clinical trial of vital wheat gluten oral immunotherapy. <u>J Allergy Clin Immunol</u> 2019 Feb;143(2):651-661.e9.

Okamiya K, et al. Safety profile of the SQ house dust mite sublingual immunotherapy-tablet in Japanese adult patients with house dust mite-induced allergic asthma: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled phase I study. <u>J Asthma</u> 2019 Dec;56(12):1347-1355.

Parrish, CP, et al. Interventional therapies for the treatment of food allergy. <u>Immunol Allergy Clin North Am</u> 2018 Feb;38(1):77-88.

Pfaar O, et al. Sublingual allergen immunotherapy with a liquid birch pollen product in patients with seasonal allergic rhinoconjunctivitis with or without asthma. <u>J Allergy Clin Immunol</u> 2019 Mar;143(3):970-977.

\*Radulovic S, et al. Sublingual immunotherapy for allergic rhinitis. <u>Cochrane Database Syst Rev</u> 2010 Dec 8;(12):CD002893.

Reier-Nilsen T, et al. Parent and child perception of quality of life in a randomized controlled peanut oral immunotherapy trial. <u>Pediatr Allergy Immunol</u> 2019 Sep;30(6):638-645.

\*Ronborg S, et al. Cost-minimization analysis of sublingual immunotherapy versus subcutaneous immunotherapy for house dust mite respiratory allergic disease in Denmark. J Med Econ 2016 Aug;19(8):735-41.

Sharif H, et al. Immunologic mechanisms of a short-course of Lolium perenne peptide immunotherapy: A randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2019 Sep;144(3):738-749.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Grastek. Last updated 1/10/20. [http://www.fda.gov/biologicsbloodvaccines/allergenics/ucm393162.htm] accessed 7/27/22.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Oralair. Last update 6/4/19. [http://www.fda.gov/biologicsbloodvaccines/allergenics/ucm391287.htm] accessed 7/27/22.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Ragwitek. Last update 4/22/21. [https://www.fda.gov/vaccines-blood-biologics/allergenics/ragwitek] accessed 7/27/22.

U.S. Food and Drug Administration Odactra. Last updated 9/10/20. [https://www.fda.gov/biologicsbloodvaccines/allergenics/ucm544326.htm] accessed 7/27/22.

\*Wang et al. Single-allergen sublingual immunotherapy versus multi-allergen subcutaneous immunotherapy for children with allergic rhinitis. <u>J Huazhong Univ Sci Technolog Med Sci</u> 2017 Jun;37(3):407-411.

\*Key Article

#### Medical Policy: ALLERGEN IMMUNOTHERAPY Policy Number: 2.01.11 Page: 7 of 7

# KEY WORDS

Allergy shots, Allergen/Allergy Immunotherapy, Grastek, Oralair, Ragwitek, Sublingual immunotherapy (SLIT).

# **CMS COVERAGE FOR MEDICARE PRODUCT MEMBERS**

There is currently a National Coverage Determination addressing Food Allergy Testing and Treatment (110.11). Please refer to the following website for Medicare Members: <u>https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-details.aspx?NCDId=266&ncdver=1&CoverageSelection=Both&ArticleType=All&PolicyType=Final&s=New+York++ +Entire+State&KeyWord=allergy&KeyWordLookUp=Title&KeyWordSearchType=And&bc=gAAAABAAAAAAA 3d% 3d&.</u>

There is currently a National Coverage Determination addressing Antigens Prepared for Sublingual Administration (110.9). Please refer to the following website for Medicare Members: <u>https://www.cms.gov/medicare-coverage-database/details/ncd-</u>

<u>details.aspx?NCDId=155&ncdver=1&SearchType=Advanced&CoverageSelection=Both&NCSelection=NCA%7cCAL%</u> <u>7cNCD%7cMEDCAC%7cTA%7cMCD&ArticleType=SAD%7cEd&PolicyType=Both&s=41&KeyWord=allergy&Key</u> <u>WordLookUp=Doc&KeyWordSearchType=Exact&kq=true&bc=IAAAACAAAAAA&</u>